

LEGAL NOTICE

Senators of Canada
The Senate of Canada
Ottawa, Ontario
Canada K1A 0A4
Phone: 1 800 267-7362
Email: sencom@sen.parl.gc.ca

Date: _____, 2026

Re: Bill C-9
Want of power of the Senate, and yourself as the King's Senator, to vote in favour of Bill C-9

Attn: Each individual Senator of Canada:

As you are likely aware, **Bill C-9**, *An Act to amend the Criminal Code (hate propaganda, hate crime, and access to religious or cultural places)*, is now presently before the Senate.

I HEREBY PUT YOU ON LEGAL AND CONSTITUTIONAL NOTICE, that your Constitutional powers and duties prohibit you from aiding and advising the King, via the Governor General, to give Royal Assent to **Bill C-9** in its present form, and I am respectfully demanding that you comply with your Constitutional duties and refuse to vote for **Bill C-9** when it is presented to you, as presently worded, in compliance with your Oath of Allegiance and Oath of Office to King Charles III. I remind you, all power flows from the Oath, including your own.

This Bill, if passed, expressly purports to remove the criminal exemption from this hate speech legislation, for honestly held religious beliefs, presently worded as:

Criminal Code: 319(3) No person shall be convicted of an offence under subsection (2) [...] (b) if, in good faith, the person expressed or attempted to establish by an argument an opinion on a religious subject or an opinion based on a belief in a religious text.

Canada adopted the *British North America Act 1867* into our Constitution in 1982, thereafter the *Constitution Act 1867*. Pursuant thereto, Canada remains a Dominion (**Preamble, s. 3**) with the King as the Head of State.

Pursuant to **s. 24** of the *Constitution Act 1867*, you have been appointed and thereafter sworn in as a Senator. During this Constitutionally required ceremony, you swore the following Oaths:

1. your Oath of Allegiance, and,
2. your Oath of Office – all to the King/Queen.

As an important aside, every official of the King has been required to take these Oaths since as far back as *circa* 1346 A.D. They remain part of our Constitution, as do the Rules of Succession, outlined below.

You are bound by these Oaths unless you take an oath or become a citizen of a Foreign Power,

pursuant to s. 31 of the *Constitution Act* 1867. I proceed in this Notice, that you have not taken any oaths to or become a citizen of any Foreign Power.

Section 9 of the *Constitution Act* 1867, expressly states that the Executive Government and Authority of and over Canada is vested in the Queen, now the King. Pursuant to s. 11-14 of the *Constitution Act* 1867, the Governor General is the present King's representative of and for Canada.

The King has the power, pursuant to s. 91 of the *Constitution Act* 1867, to make laws for the Peace, Order and Good Government of Canada, only "...by and with the Advice and Consent of the Senate and House of Commons..." (my emphasis.) Members of Parliament and the Senate cannot aid and advise the King to do something unlawful or unconstitutional, i.e., to break his Coronation Oath promises. So doing would not be for the "...Peace, Order and Good Government" of Canada, and could be a criminal offence. Pursuant to s. 91 of the *Constitution Act* 1867, MPs and Senators, including yourself, do not have the power or jurisdiction at law to aid and advise the King to give Royal Assent to this said **Bill C-9** as it is presently worded.

Further, there is a well-known principle of Constitutional and administrative law that the King cannot delegate to the Governor General or any subordinates more powers and duties than the King himself may have. As a Senator, your position exists due to the Royal Assent of then Queen Victoria, Queen Elizabeth II and now King Charles III, and flowing from your Oaths.

Derivativa potestas non potest esse major primitiva

the power which is derived cannot be greater than that from which it is derived

The Governor General has statutory and Constitutional powers and duties to give Royal Assent to Parliamentary Bills, limited by the *Constitution Act* 1867 and the principles in the *Coronation Oath*, which you, as a Senator, have also sworn to protect, uphold and maintain pursuant to your Oaths to the King. You cannot have more powers and duties than the King. The Coronation Oath puts Constitutional limitations upon your powers as a Senator, and imparts duties upon you not to mislead the King in your duties of aiding and advising him.

I bring to your attention that the *Constitution Act* 1982, is not exhaustive of all Constitutional documents and principles, both written and unwritten.

New Brunswick Broadcasting v Nova Scotia (Speaker of the House of Assembly) 1993 1 SCR 319

Further, the Canadian Constitution is a "...series of documents..."

Ref re Remuneration of Judges of the Prov. Court of P.E.I.; Ref re Independence and Impartiality of Judges of the Prov. Court of P.E.I. 1997 CanLII 317 SCC para. 314

This began with the first recorded Coronation Oath of the King in 973 A.D., to Magna Carta in 1215 (including all successive amendments), and most importantly herein, the Rules of Succession of the Monarch, inclusive of the *Coronation Oath Act* 1688, the *English Bill of Rights* 1689, and the *Act of*

Settlement 1701.

The King, in turn, must swear out his Coronation Oath, as has every king/queen since 973 A.D. (as previously mentioned), including Queen Elizabeth II in 1953, the video of which remains posted on the internet. This Coronation Oath is the longest standing document in our Constitution, forming part of the Rules of Succession of each king/queen in our Constitution. This ceremony is entirely Christian based, requiring King Charles III to kiss the Holy Bible and swear to the people that he will govern in accordance with, and not contrary to the laws of God contained in the Holy Bible. This includes all capacities of the King, including executive.

The primary part of this Coronation Oath, as worded in the said *Coronation Oath Act*, which as the Ontario Court of Appeal upheld in *O'Donohue v Canada*, 2003 OJ # 2764, is part of our Constitution and Rules of Succession, states as follows:

Will you to the utmost of your power maintain the laws of God, the true profession of the gospel and the Protestant reformed religion established by law, and will you preserve unto the bishops and clergy of this Realm, and to the churches committed to their charge, all such rights and privileges as by law do or shall appertain unto them or any of them?

These terms of this Coronation Oath limit the powers of the King. They further put limits on your powers as one of the King's Senators.

The King, and Governor General on the King's behalf, are prohibited from giving Royal Assent to legislation which:

- i. violates or does not maintain the laws of God, as set out in the Holy Bible (King James Version);
- ii. violates or does not maintain the true profession of the gospel and Protestant Reformed religion; and,
- iii. which limits or does not preserve the rights and privileges of the bishops and clergy of the Realm, including of course, Canada.

Bill C-9 purports to criminalize hate speech, including provisions of the King James Bible, which was duly authorized by the previous King James to be the Royal Law in 1611. **Bill C-9** is directly in contradiction to the King's Coronation Oath promises in our Constitution to us, that he would not so do. The King's duty of Protestant Christian religion maintenance is to the "*...utmost of [his] power...*", a duty which now devolves on to you to ensure that the King complies with his said Constitutional duty, to the utmost of his ability to so do. This means and can only mean, that the King is prohibited from giving Royal Assent to **Bill C-9** and you are prohibited from voting for Bill C-9 and, in so doing, aiding and advising the King to give Royal Assent to this Bill.

Bill C-9 violates and does not maintain the true profession of the gospel. "*True profession of the gospel*" means the authentic, public declaration and upholding of the core Christian message (the gospel) in its pure, Protestant form, without distortion or compromise. It obligates the King (and

yourself as the King's Senator) to:

- i. maintain and promote the Protestant interpretation of Christianity, where the Bible (especially the gospel narratives) is the supreme authority, not church traditions, papal decrees, or statute law (including even human rights legislation), nor any other religion or faith;
- ii. at all times, ensuring that you do not aid and advise the King to break his Coronation Oath terms, conditions and promises, by giving Royal Assent to Bills that break his said Oath;
- iii. ensure that the "*profession*" (declaration) of this faith remains "*true*" (uncorrupted), supporting the Church of England's role as the established church while protecting Protestant principles broadly; and,
- iv. this is part of a broader commitment to defend the "*Protestant Reformed religion established by law*," which was Constitutionally recognized and crafted during the Glorious Revolution (1688-89) to prevent a Catholic monarch (like James II) from ascending and to secure Protestant succession.

Under **Bill C-9**, bishops and clergy would be restricted and be denied their rights and privileges to quoting certain Biblical passages, and further be unconstitutionally open to criminal charges for quoting Scripture right out of the Holy Bible, including the Old Testament, as is their right/liberty and duty to the parishioners and people of the Realm. Such quotations of Scripture would henceforth be unconstitutionally prohibited, as was recently admitted by Minister Marc Miller, who recently stated that **Bill C-9** would indeed prohibit quotations from Scripture in our Holy Bible:

"The reality is I don't think people should be using the Bible, the Qur'an or the Torah to escape from committing a hate crime or claim that the hate — what would otherwise be a hate crime — is done in the name of a religious text," Miller told reporters on Parliament Hill. (CBC

News, Dec. 3, 2025 Darren Major: Bloc wants Liberal bill amended to remove religious exemption from hate speech laws: source)

Prohibiting quoting from other religious sources should not be a consideration and is irrelevant herein as the King is only Constitutionally required to maintain and protect the principles of the Protestant Christian religion, as are you in the execution of your duties as the King's Senator. This is our law. This has been the foundation of our Constitutional law for almost 400 years.

If you swore your Oaths to become a Senator, without knowing what they meant, or if you swore them out knowing what they meant and will now violate those sacred duties upon you, you intentionally or recklessly swore out false oaths and you would be knowingly committing a criminal offence by breaking your Oath, analogous to perjury in a court of law. You cannot serve two masters and if you have personal faiths other than the Protestant Christian Religion, you cannot serve your personal faith and the King's simultaneously in your capacity as a Senator, if they differ. Either you agree to forego your own personal beliefs at all times in the execution of your powers and duties as a

Senator, or you must resign, as opposed to voting and advising the King to give Royal Assent to Bill C-9.

As an important aside, Queen Elizabeth II passed the *Canada Act* and the Charter of Rights and Freedoms in 1982. Her power to so do originated in and from, and limited by the Coronation Oath, and she could not Constitutionally pass this *Act* if it purported to recognize any other god, other than the Christian God she too swore to maintain and protect. Indeed, examining the opening of the Charter, it states:

"Whereas Canada is founded on principles that recognize the supremacy of God and the rule of law:"

You will immediately notice not only does this sentence Constitutionally recognize the supremacy of our Protestant Christian God, but it ends with a full colon (:). The Canadian Style Manual lists a colon's function as:

"The colon is used primarily to introduce the words that follow it."

Hence, all words in the Charter flowing from this Constitutional Preamble, must recognize as well the supremacy of only the Christian God, and are subordinate to God's laws as much as they are subordinate to the rule of law, also referenced in this same sentence of the Preamble. The Charter emanates from the Coronation Oath, not the reverse.

Pursuant to your own sworn Oath of Allegiance and Oath of Office, and the aforementioned principles of law, you do not have the power to aid and advise the King, through the Governor General, to give Royal Assent to **Bill C-9**, minimally as long as it continues to retain any clause, term, condition or provision in the Bill, that purports to remove the Protestant Christian Religion (ie: Christian Bible) as a defence to hate law legislation in the *Criminal Code*, or otherwise not maintain the Laws of God and the powers and duties of our Bishops and Clergy.

I further bring to your attention, that the Governor General does have the power under **s. 55, 57** of the *Constitution Act 1867*, to reserve Royal Assent for the King. In so doing, the King as well has no power, directly pursuant to his Coronation Oath to give **Bill C-9** Royal Assent in its current form and must refuse to so do.

You do have a Constitutional duty, however, to refuse or withhold advising the King, through the Governor General, to give Royal Assent to this said **Bill C-9**.

One of the greatest English jurists, Lord Denning previously said, "...*there must be a science of law, not a lawless science.*" No one is above the law, neither yourself as a Senator nor the King. The law is set out in the Coronation Oath, the Rules of Succession including but not restricted to the *Coronation Oath Act 1688* and the *Constitution Act 1867*, and your own Oaths, all of which are Constitutionally binding upon you, and which you cannot now choose to ignore.

I HEREBY PUT YOU ON LEGAL AND CONSTITUTIONAL NOTICE, that your Constitutional powers and duties prohibit you from aiding and advising the King, through the Governor General, to give Royal

Assent to **Bill C-9** in its present form, and I am respectfully demanding that you comply with your Constitutional duties and refuse to vote for **Bill C-9** when it is presented to you, as presently worded, in compliance with your Oath of Allegiance and Oath of Office to the King. Advising the King, via the Governor General to give Royal Assent to **Bill C-9** as it is presently worded, by voting for Bill C-9, would be a knowing and willful violation of your sworn Oaths, and is likely to result in legal repercussions.

With respect.

Name: _____

Address: _____

Email: _____

Address: Senate of Canada
Ottawa, Ontario, Canada
K1A 0A4
sencom@sen.parl.gc.ca
1 800 267-7362